

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

				·	
	APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
	09/929,326	08/14/2001	Ryuzo Tamayama	7217/65194	8650
	7590 12/02/2			EXAMINER	
		OUNHAM LLP		FAULK, DEVONA E	
j	1185 Avenue of the Americas New York, NY 10036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
•				2644	

DATE MAILED: 12/02/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/929,326	TAMAYAMA, RYUZO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Devona E. Faulk	2644				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	6(a). In no event, however, may a re within the statutory minimum of thirt ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MON cause the application to become AB	eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 Ju</u>	<u>ly 2004</u> .	•				
2a)⊠ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.	·				
• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213				
Disposition of Claims		•				
4) ☐ Claim(s) 2 and 3 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 2,3 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcting 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1 Certified copies of the priority documents 2 Certified copies of the priority documents 3 Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in A ity documents have been (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this <b>N</b> ational Stage				
Attachment(s)						
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)     Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)     Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)     Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(:	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Applic ation (PTO-152) 				

Art Unit: 2644

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Response to Arguments

- 1. The applicant has cancelled claim 1, therefore the previous rejection of claim 1 is moot.

  The applicant has amended claims 2 and 3.
- 2. Applicant's arguments, filed 7/6/2004 with respect to the rejection(s) of claim(s) 2 and 3 under 102 (a) and 103 (b) respectively have been fully considered and are persuasive. Therefore, the rejection has been withdrawn. However, upon further consideration, a new ground(s) of rejection is made in view of the applicant's admitted prior art and Gefvert and 112 rejections asserted by the examiner.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

Regarding claim 2, the examiner asserts that applicant has not disclosed "wherein the channel signals of the remaining channels from the switching means are supplied to a television receiver". The applicant has disclosed that the TV receiver (17) receives the digital output from

Art Unit: 2644

a digital surround decoder (14) (page 9 of specification, lines 1-4). The examiner did not find in the specification where the claimed language recited above is disclosed.

5. Claims 2 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 2,there are two switching means (21 and 22). Switching means, 21, switches and outputs digital data before being amplified form the digital surround decoder (page 7, lines 2-9) and switching means, 22, switches and outputs analog signals amplified by the power amplifier (15) (page 7, lines 2-9). The examiner asserts that one switching means that performs both reproducing a predetermined number of channels of the multichannel acoustic signal as claimed and supplying remaining channels to a receiver as claimed is not disclosed. There are two switching means that perform each perform one function.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

6. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the applicant's admitted prior art (Figures 6A,6B; Description of Related Art) in view of Gefvert (U.S. Patent 5,533,129) in further view of Endoh et al. (U.S. Patent 5,896,358).

Regarding **claim 2**, the applicant's admitted prior art (Figures 6A,6B) discloses a portable housing (3, Figure 6B); left and right speakers separately attached to the portable housing (Figures 6A, 6B), recording and reproducing means arranged in the portable housing capable of recording and reproducing a recording medium (10, Figure 6B); surround decoding means arranged in the portable housing for decoding a multi-channel acoustic signal from the recording

Art Unit: 2644

and reproducing means to produce surround phonic sound (14, Figure 6B); control means for controlling the recording and reproducing means, the surround decoding means (Figure 6B). The applicant's admitted prior art fails to disclose a center speaker, and a switching means as claimed. However the concept a center speaker arranged in a housing was well known in the art at the time of filing as taught by Gefvert. Gefvert discloses a multi-channel sound reproduction system having a center speaker arranged in a housing. The concept of a switching means in a housing for reproducing a predetermined number of channels was well known in the art as taught by Endoh. Endoh discloses various of switching in order to down-mix with a specific coefficient in the surround mode (Figures 64-68; column 36, lines 28-column 37). Thus it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use Gefvert's concept of a center speaker arranged in a housing and Endoh's concept of a switching means in order to have a more compact system and to provide the capability of switching speaker arrangements when desired.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE

MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO

MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after

Art Unit: 2644

the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Devona E. Faulk whose telephone number is 703-305-4359. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 5 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Forester W. Isen can be reached on 703-305-4386. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

DF

XU MEI

ODIMARY EXAMINER